C.S.1001-

SINGLE BENCH (1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 1129 OF 2005

PETITIONER : N. Manoharan, Son of late P K. Narayanan, aged about 62 Years R/oSreemangalam, Ramavarma junction, Post : Avalukunnu, South Aryad, Alapuzha, Kerala 688 006.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. The Accountant General (A & E) Pandri Naka, Pagariya Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. State of Chhattisgarh through the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Near Collectorate, Bilaspur, District : Bilaspur (C G)

P.R. No. 1134/05
Presented by Shri G.R. Khare
dated 15-03-05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. Particulars of the Petitioner:

XI-HC-22

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1129 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

28.3.2005

Shri Vinod Deshmukh, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.2.

I.A. No. 2155/2005 for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has retired on 31.3.2003 from the post of Assistant Grade-III in the office of Chief Engineer Public Works Department. He further submits that though some payment has been made on account of G.P.F., but the full and final payment has not been made so far and some outstanding amount is balance for payment. He also submits that in spite of several requests, the balance amount has not been paid to the petitioner.

For the present, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a detailed representation and the respondent authorities may also be directed to dispose of the same

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर (25)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक .....1129..... सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

in accordance with law within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

The prayer is allowed.

If so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation alongwith the certified copy of this order and a copy of this writ petition before the competent authority within a period of one month from today and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation, by passing a reasoned order on the same. The order passed on representation shall be communicated to the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

sunita

(पीछे देखिये)